Lesson Plan – Modal verb + have + past participle

CHAU, Ka Ying; WONG, Tsz Yu Renee; LEE, Fung King Jackie
The Hong Kong Institute of Education

Student level: Senior secondary

Duration: 55 mins

Topic: Who is the prime suspect?

Learning objectives:

At the end of this lesson, students should be able to:

1. Use $modal\ verb + have + past\ participle$ to make deductions about past events

2. Demonstrate an understanding of using different modal verbs to express different degrees of certainty

Time	Procedure	Purpose	Resources
5 mins	T reads with Ss three short stories about a burglary.	To provide students with the context for	PPT
		the learning of the target structure	
10 mins	Ss get into groups of 4. T asks Ss to	To understand the stories and to find out	WS1
	1. read the 3 stories again;	the clues for deduction.	
	2. Underline the evidence that supports the deduction that a		
	burglary has happened.		
	T works with students on the first story to demonstrate how	To notice the target structure and the	
	to work out the clues for deduction. T asks Ss to choose the	different levels of certainty expressed by	
	following sentence(s) and insert it/them into story 1 and	different modal verbs.	
	give reasons.		
	(A) Somebody could have been in our apartment.		

	(B) Somebody might have been in our apartment.(C) Somebody must have been in our apartment.(D) Somebody has been in our apartment.		
10 mins	Ss report their deductions about story 2 and story 3. T asks Ss to summarize their learning:	To practice using the target structure to make deductions.	visualizer
	 (1) We use modal verbs (i.e. <i>could, might, must</i>) + <i>have</i> + pp to express different levels of certainty of for making deductions about events that happened in the past: <i>must have</i> + pp expresses a higher level of certainty than <i>might have</i> + pp / <i>could have</i> + pp (2) We use present perfect tense to express facts. 	To consolidate Ss' learning.	
15 mins	6. Ss play a game called 'Crime Scene Investigation – Who is the Prime Suspect?' by analysing the suspects' alibis and making deductions about who killed George. Ss have to choose the appropriate modal verb for the structure 'modal verb + have + past participle' to express different levels of certainty when making deductions.	To let Ss' demonstrate their learning through comprehensible output.	WS 2 (description of the crime scene)
	T asks Ss to get into groups of 4 and tells them that they are all investigators. Each student will be given an alibi provided by a girlfriend of George's (i.e. Elaine, Tina,	To provide further language practice.	Appendix (suspects' alibis) WS 3 (group worksheet)

	Pansy and Katherine). They have to work together to make appropriate deductions about the crime.		
10 mins	After the discussion, T asks the investigators responsible for Elaine in all groups to stand up. T asks which boxes and how many ticks they have given for each event in order to elicit the target language Ss used during the discussion. T asks Ss why they make such a deduction. The same procedure applies to the other three suspects.	To assess Ss' learning.	
	T asks all Ss who the prime suspect is and why.		
5 mins	T summarizes the lesson:	To revise the target language items learnt.	
	When we have little evidence about events that happened in the past, we make deductions with a lower degree of certainty by using <i>could/might + have +</i> pp.		
	When we have substantial evidence about events that happened in the past, we make deductions with a higher degree of certainty by using $must + have + pp$		